1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	UNITED STATES DISTRI		
8	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE		
9	KARLENA DAWSON, et al.,	CASE NO. C20-0409JLR-MAT	
10	Petitioner-Plaintiffs,	ORDER TO FILE ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE	
11	v.	ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE	
12	NATHALIE ASHER, et al.,		
13	Respondent-Defendants.		
14 15	Before the court is Petitioner-Plaintiffs Karles	na Dawson, Norma Lopez-Nunez,	
16	Marjoris Ramirez Ochoa, Maria Gonzalez Mendoza	, Joe Hlupheka Bayana, Kelvin	
17	Melgar Alas, Jesus Gonzalez Herrera, Alfredo Espin	noza-Esparza, and Leonidas Plutin	
18	Hernandez's (collectively, "Plaintiffs") second motion	on for a temporary restraining order	
19	("TRO") (2d TRO Mot. (Dkt. # 36)). Plaintiffs are s	six individuals who	
20	Respondent-Defendant United States Immigration as	nd Customs Enforcement ("ICE")	
21	currently holds in civil detention at the Tacoma North	thwest Detention Center ("NWDC")	
22			

	in Tacoma, Washington and three who ICE released after Plaintiffs filed this action. ¹
2	Respondent-Defendants Nathalie Asher, Matthew T. Albence, Steven Langford, and ICE
3	(collectively, "Defendants") oppose Plaintiffs' second TRO motion (2d TRO Resp. (Dkt.
4	# 64)).
5	# 64)). Due to the recent guidance provided in General Order 20-02, the court declines to

hold an evidentiary hearing in this matter at this time. *See* W.D. Wash. Gen. Order 02-20 ¶ 2. Nevertheless, the court requires additional factual information from the parties prior to ruling on Plaintiffs' second TRO motion. Accordingly, the court ORDERS Defendants to file additional evidence, including declarations or affidavits from individuals with personal knowledge, addressing the following questions:

- 1) Are detainees at NWDC currently able to maintain at least six feet of physical distance from other individuals?
- 2) What steps have Defendants taken to instruct and/or encourage detainees to maintain at least six feet of physical distance from other individuals, particularly in light of guidance from Department of Homeland Security ("DHS") medical subject matter experts who explain that "social distancing is essential to slow the spread of the coronavirus"? (*See* Ngo Decl. (Dkt. # 37) ¶ 4, Ex. A (Expert Ltr.).)
- 3) Under what circumstances, if any, are detainees unable to maintain a physical distance of six feet or more from other individuals at NWDC? If detainees are

¹ On March 27, 2020, Plaintiffs notified the court that Mr. Espinoza-Esparza and Mr. Hernandez were released from detention. (*See* 1st Not. of Release (Dkt. # 57) at 1.) On March 30, 2020, Defendants notified the court that ICE released Ms. Dawson from immigration custody on an order of supervised release. (*See* 2d Not. of Release (Dkt. # 70) at 1.)

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	

unable to maintain a distance of six feet or more from other individuals, are

Defendants taking any measures to mitigate the risk entailed by these

circumstances? If so, what are those measures and how will those measures

mitigate the risk?

- 4) How many tests for COVID-19 have Defendants conducted on (a) all individuals present at NWDC, including ICE employees, and (b) of that number, how many tests for COVID-19 have Defendants conducted specifically on detainees at NWDC? Have any of those tests returned positive identifications of COVID-19?
- 5) How many detainees at NWDC have been placed in isolation for the "14-day observation period" or otherwise been quarantined or isolated due to screening results, symptoms, or any other COVID-19-related reason? (*See* Bostock Decl. (Dkt. # 66) ¶ 14.)
- 6) What is the total number of detainees that have been transferred to or otherwise placed at NWDC since March 1, 2020?
- 7) In addition to isolating any individual who shows symptoms or tests positive for COVID-19, what are Defendants' current plans, if any, in the event of a COVID-19 outbreak at NWDC—especially if it becomes widespread—to (a) provide medical care for detainees who contract COVID-19, particularly detainees who are elderly and/or have serious medical conditions and (b) manage the COVID-19 outbreak to minimize the possibility of spread to other individuals within NWDC and to the public at large?

1 8) In the event of a COVID-19 outbreak, do Defendants' current plans include the 2 possibility of release of detainees from NWDC? If so, how will Defendants 3 determine which detainees will be released and how do Defendants plan to prevent or minimize the risk of the spread of COVID-19 from those released detainees to 4 5 the public at large? 9) Do Defendants currently possess the resources and ability to fully execute the 6 7 plans referenced above, including necessary medical personnel, facilities, and 8 equipment, including personal protective equipment? 9 10) As part of Defendants' case-by-case review for release of at-risk detainees who 10 are not subject to mandatory detention provisions, how is each detainee's criminal 11 history taken into account in determing whether to release that individual? (See 12 Bostock Decl. ¶ 31.) 13 Plaintiffs may file additional evidence, if any, including declarations or affidavits 14 from individuals with personal knowledge, regarding the above questions. Plaintiffs 15 should be mindful that the court is interested in current conditions at NWDC specifically, and not conditions at ICE facilities in general or other detention facilities not at issue in 16 17 this case. 18 19 20 21

22

The parties shall file their additional evidence by this Friday, April 3, 2020. Additional briefing and legal argument is not requested and not required. Dated this 1st day of April, 2020. R. Plut JAMES L. ROBART United States District Judge